

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/886,456	06/20/2001	Jeffrey D. Washington	5150-48400	6339
35690 75	590 09/20/2005		EXAMINER	
MEYERTONS, HOOD, KIVLIN, KOWERT & GOETZEL, P.C.			VU, KIEU D	
P.O. BOX 398			ART UNIT	
AUSTIN, TX	AUSTIN, TX 78767-0398			PAPER NUMBER
			2173	
•	•		DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion Commons	09/886,456	WASHINGTON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kieu D. Vu	2173 .				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ju	ıne 2005.					
	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> is/are pending in the application.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-65 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/02/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

W

Art Unit: 2173

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed on 06/27/05.

2. Claims 1-65 are pending.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 60 is objected since it contains a typographical error. "method" should be replaced with "memory medium".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

5. Claims 17-27, 36-47, 60, and 62-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the "memory medium" as claimed is not limited to tangible medium.

Claim 60, upon correction of the typographical error (cited in section 3 above) would be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the "memory medium" as claimed is not limited to tangible medium.

The claimed invention as a whole must accomplish a practical application. That is, it must produce a "useful, concrete and tangible result." *State Street*, 149 F.3d at 1373, 47 USPQ2d at 1601-02. MPEP 2106

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before

Art Unit: 2173

the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

7. Claims 1-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Morganelli et al ("Morganelli", USP 6425120).

Regarding claims 1 and 17, Morganelli teaches a method for configuring a node in a graphical program, the method comprising displaying a node in a graphical program (symbolic representation displayed in designer window 406), wherein the node is configurable to perform a plurality of operations depending upon user input specifying configuration information for the node (col 8, lines 42-50), wherein the graphical program comprises a plurality of interconnected nodes that visually indicate functionality of the graphical program, and wherein the graphical program is executable to perform the functionality (Fig. 9), displaying a graphical user interface (GUI 400) for specifying configuration information for the node, wherein the GUI comprises information useable in guiding a user in configuring the node to perform one or more operations from the plurality of operations (line 46 of col 6 to line 5 of column 7), receiving user input via the GUI specifying one or more desired operations for the node from the plurality of operations (col 9, lines 1-16); programmatically generating graphical source code for the node to implement the one or more desired operations, in response to the user input (col 6, lines 30-33) (col 8, lines 48-57).

Regarding claims 2 and 18, Morganelli teaches programmatically generating the graphical source code for the node to implement the one or more desired operations does teaches not including generating graphical source code

Art Unit: 2173

corresponding to operations from the plurality of operations that are not among the one or more desired operations (this teaching is inherent in Morganelli's system since the program code is being developed in response to graphical inputs from the user) (col 6, lines 30-33).

Regarding claims 3 and 19, Morganelli teaches programmatically generating the graphical source code for the node to implement the one or more desired operations does not include generating graphical source code not necessary to implement the one or more desired operations (this teaching is inherent in Morganelli's system since the program code is being developed in response to graphical inputs from the user)(col 6, lines 30-33).

Regarding claims 4 and 20, Morganelli teaches said programmatically generating the graphical source code for the node to implement the one or more desired operations comprises generating a minimal amount of graphical source code to implement the one or more desired operations (this teaching is inherent in Morganelli's system since the program code is being developed in response to graphical inputs from the user)(col 6, lines 30-33).

Regarding claims 5, 21, 37, and 55, Morganelli teaches generating the graphical source code as a sub-program of the graphical program, wherein the node represents the sub-program (program code is a sub-program (procedure) of software program) (col 6, lines 30-33) (col 8, lines 54-57).

Regarding claims 6 and 22, Morganelli teaches replacing the node in the graphical program with the programmatically generated graphical source code (col 12, lines 52-65).

Art Unit: 2173

Regarding claims 7, 43, and 50, Morganelli teaches receiving user input requesting to change configuration information for the node after said programmatically generating the graphical source code for the node (col 31, lines 25-35); re-displaying the graphical user interface (GUI) in response to the user input requesting to change the configuration information of the node receiving user input via the GUI specifying a second one or more desired operations for the node (col 31, lines 35-45); programmatically replacing the previously generated graphical source code with new graphical source code for the node, wherein the new graphical source code implements the second one or more desired operations (it is inherent in Morganelli's teaching since the system changes configuration, the old source code should be replaced with the new source code) (col 20, lines 25-34).

Regarding claim 8, Morganelli teaches wherein the first one or more desired operations includes a first operation; wherein the second one or more desired operations does not include the first operation, wherein the new graphical source code does not include graphical source code to implement the first operation (inherent).

Regarding claims 9 and 23, Morganelli teaches wherein no functionality is set for the node until after said programmatically generating graphical source code for the node (inherent).

Regarding claims 10, 24, and 46, Morganelli teaches wherein default functionality is set for the node; wherein said programmatically generating graphical source code for the node comprises replacing the default functionality

Art Unit: 2173

with functionality implemented by the programmatically generated graphical source code (col 20, lines 25-34) (col 33, lines 1-17).

Regarding claim 44, Morganelli teaches display the new graphical source code in place of the previously generated graphical source code (inherent in reconfiguration and editing) (col 20, lines 25-34).

Regarding claims 11, 25, and 47, Morganelli teaches no program instructions to be executed during execution of the graphical program are associated with the node until after said programmatically generating graphical source code for the node (col 21, lines 31-46).

Regarding claim 45, Morganelli teaches wherein no functionality is set for the node until after the graphical source code is programmatically generated for the node (inherent).

Regarding claim 12, Morganelli teaches receiving user input requesting to specify configuration information for the node; wherein said displaying the graphical user interface (GUI) is performed in response to the user input requesting to specify configuration information for the node (col 6, lines 34-45).

Regarding claims 13 and 56-57, Morganelli teaches the GUI for specifying configuration information for the node comprises one or more GUI input panels wherein the one or more GUI input panels include GUI input controls operable to receive user input for configuring functionality for the node (line 46 of col 6 to line 5 of col 7).

Art Unit: 2173

Regarding claim 14, Morganelli teaches determining the one or more desired operations for the node based on the user input received by the GUI input controls (col 8, lines 42-50).

Regarding claims 15 and 26, Morganelli teaches a method for configuring a node in a graphical program, the method comprising displaying a node in a graphical program (symbolic representation displayed in designer window 406) (see Fig. 4B, 4C, 4D), wherein the node is configurable to perform functionality depending upon user input specifying configuration information for the node (col -8, lines 42-50) wherein the graphical program comprises a plurality of interconnected nodes that visually indicate functionality of the graphical program, and wherein the graphical program is executable to perform the functionality (Fig. 9); displaying a graphical user interface (GUI 400) for specifying functionality information for the node wherein the GUI is usable to specify functionality for the node (line 46 of col 6 to line 5 of col 7), receiving user input via the GUI specifying desired functionality for the node (col 9, lines 1-16); programmatically generating graphical source code for the node to implement the specified functionality, in response to the user input (col 6, lines 30-33) (col 8, lines 48-57).

Regarding claims 16 and 27, Morganelli teaches the GUI is useable to specify first functionality and second functionality for the node; wherein the user input specifying the desired functionality but does not specify the second functionality; wherein said programmatically generating the graphical source code for the node includes programmatically generating graphical source code to implement the first functionality; wherein said programmatically generating the

Art Unit: 2173

graphical source code for the node does not include programmatically generating graphical source code to implement the second functionality (inherent).

Regarding claims 28, 36, and 59, Morganelli teaches displaying the programmatically generated graphical source code in the graphical program (see Fig. 14D).

Regarding claims 29, 38, 49, and 61-63, Morganelli teaches displaying the programmatically generated graphical source code in place of the node in the graphical program (Fig. 9).

Regarding claims 30, 39, and 51, Morganelli teaches receiving user input selecting the node prior to said displaying the node in the graphical program (col 8, lines 42-45).

Regarding claims 31, 40, 52, and 64, Morganelli teaches plurality of interconnected nodes that visually indicate functionality of the graphical source code (Fig. 9).

Regarding claims 32, 41, 53, and 65, Morganelli teaches a plurality of nodes interconnected in one or more of a data flow, control flow, and execution flow format (col 8, lines 42-50).

Regarding claims 33, 42, and 54, Morganelli teaches a plurality of nodes interconnected to indicate data flow among the nodes (col 8, lines 42-50).

Regarding claims 34-35, Morganelli teaches a plurality of nodes interconnected to indicate control flow or execution flow among the nodes (col 8, lines 42-50).

Art Unit: 2173

Regarding claims 48, 58, and 60, Morganelli teaches a method for creating a graphical program, the method comprising selecting a graphical program node in response to user input and displaying the graphical program node in a diagram after said selecting (col 8, lines 42-47) wherein the graphical program comprises a plurality of interconnected nodes that visually indicate functionality of the graphical program, and wherein the graphical program is executable to perform the functionality (Fig. 9); displaying a graphical user interface (GUI) after selecting the graphical program node (col 8, lines 47-63); receiving user input to the GUI configuring desired operation of the graphical program node (col 8, lines 47-63); and programmatically generating graphical source code based on the user input configuring desired operation of the graphical program node (col 6, lines 30-33), wherein the graphical source code is programmatically generated as a sub-program of the graphical program node (program code is a sub-program (procedure) of software program (col 6, lines 30-33) (col 8, lines 54-57).

- 8. Applicant's arguments filed on 06/27/05 have been fully considered but they are most under new ground of rejection.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kieu D. Vu. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon Thu from 7:00AM to 3:00PM at 571-272-4057.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca, can be reached at 571-272-4048.

Art Unit: 2173

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

571-273-8300

and / or:

571-273-4057 (use this FAX #, only after approval by Examiner, for "INFORMAL" or "DRAFT" communication. Examiners may request that a formal paper / amendment be faxed directly to them on occasions).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kieu D. Vu

Patent Examiner

Knew Brendu